Proposals for improvements of IDEA to facilitate international data exchange

Interbull business meeting, Chile

The genetic evaluation centres of Nordic countries, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Spain

Motivation

- Proper/unique identification of animals across countries is an ongoing challenge
 - → all A.I. bulls and their parents should be identified properly/uniquely in IDEA
- But reality is (somewhat) different, e.g.:
 - August 2016 MACE/GMACE: min. 65 bulls double in official HOL result files
 - Mainly HOL⇔RED
 - Seems situation is getting worse as bulls at young age are exchanged internationally
 - **–** ...
- → Improvement needed

Issues with IB-ID-19 (I.)

- Some countries use two identifications for one animal in international exchange of data
 - better to have just one number
 - in 'old' testing system enough time to link id's
 - in genomic era no time -> one lifetime ID more important

Proposal:

IB should strive for IB-ID's based on the animal ID given at birth

Countries and series of ID's within country can be identified

Issues with IB-ID-19 (II.)

- Includes information NOT part of official (unique, national) animal ID's
 - Breed
 - Sex
 - Especially for 'breed', e.g.
 HOLCOUM000123456789 ⇔ RED COUM000123456789
 RED = red Holstein
 - HOL evaluation: <u>HOL</u>GBRM000000541484 ⇔ <u>BFR</u>GBRM000000541484 <u>HOL</u>BELM066404715088 ⇔ <u>BWR</u>BELM066404715088
 - SIM evaluation: <u>SIM</u>AUTM000166754811 ⇔ <u>RED</u>AUTM000166754811 <u>SIM</u>CANM000000311568 ⇔ <u>HOL</u>CANM000000311568 SIMCZEF000000715361 ⇔ MONCZEF000000715361
 - JER evaluation <u>JER</u>NZLM000000512017 ⇔ <u>HOL</u>NZLM000000512017

-> breed has rather free interpretation of breed

Issues with IB-ID-19 (II. cont.)

-> breed has rather free interpretation of breed

But: in some countries ID is not unique across breeds

- mainly on id of 'very' old animals
- -> breed code is needed for unique id

Proposal:

Remove 'breed/colour' from IB-ID for the younger generation

Provide this information separately

To improve situation for current animals/generation

Issues with IB-ID-19 (III.)

- USA uses different country codes in IB-ID (USA ⇔ 840)
 - This while the numeric series within 'USA' and '840' are not overlapping
 - creates miss-identification and need of cross-references.

• Proposal:

IB no longer accepts '840' as country code and in general use of more than one country code for a given country

Alternative:

- Follow official ICAR recommendation 'name of the country ... Three digit numeric ISO codes <u>must</u> be used for data transfer and storage
- i.e. set all country codes to numeric

Potential duplicates

- Several countries not uniquely register animals with IB-ID-19
 complete and up-to-date cross-references are very important
- But countries being not country of birth can only 'propose' duplicates that only become effective if the country of birth verifies them
- Not all countries work frequently pedigree matters in Interbull database

Proposal:

IB establishes a system not just monitoring the situation but actively 'reminds' countries that didn't work within a certain time on pedigree matters

and (after some time) serious consequences should be established

Further improvement proposals

Interbull should extend IDEA for following information:

- National herdbook-ID (under control of BCC)
- Short name of bull (under control of BCC)
- Genetic recessives of bull

 Other countries than owner should be allowed to fill up missing pedigree information

Summary

Recommended improvements

- IB-ID exclusively based on lifetime ID
- Unique country code in IB-ID
- Monitoring of work on potential duplicates (pedigree matters)
- Extension of pedigree information for
 - bull short name (in BCC)
 - bull herdbook number (in BCC)
 - bull genetic recessives
- No more breed/colour in IB-ID
 - At least for younger generations